



ISSN Print: 2664-8717
ISSN Online: 2664-8725
Impact Factor (RJIF): 8.36
IJRE 2025; 7(2): 945-948
www.englishjournal.net
Received: 18-10-2025
Accepted: 01-11-2025

Dr. Saleheen Ahmad
Assistant Professor, B.R.B.
College, Samastipur, Bihar,
India

Melancholia, Memory, and Modernity in T. S. Eliot

Saleheen Ahmad

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26648717.2025.v7.i2n.576>

Abstract

This article offers an extended critical examination of the interrelated themes of melancholia, memory, and modernity in the poetry of T. S. Eliot, focusing on “*The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock*,” *The Waste Land*, and *Four Quartets*. It argues that Eliot’s poetic imagination articulates a uniquely modern form of melancholia—one shaped not simply by personal sorrow but by historical rupture, cultural fragmentation, and the accelerating tempo of twentieth-century life. The study situates Prufrock’s psychic paralysis within Freud’s notion of melancholia as inward-turned critique, reading the poem as a portrait of the modern subject caught between self-scrutiny and inaction. It then explores how *The Waste Land* transforms this psychological framework into a sweeping cultural diagnosis, depicting a civilization reduced to “a heap of broken images” and haunted by its own fractured memory. Drawing on Walter Benjamin’s theory of historical ruins, the article argues that Eliot’s dense inter-textuality reflects both the persistence and the incompleteness of cultural memory in an age of dislocation. The discussion culminates in a reading of *Four Quartets*, where Eliot reframes melancholia as contemplative longing rather than despair. Here, memory becomes a potential means of spiritual orientation, and time appears cyclical rather than purely linear—an insight encapsulated in the line “the end is where we start from.” Through this trajectory, the article traces Eliot’s evolving response to modernity, moving from fragmentation toward a tentative, introspective search for coherence.

Keywords: T. S. Eliot, melancholia, modernity, memory, fragmentation, temporality, *Prufrock*, *The Waste Land*, *Four Quartets*

Introduction

Melancholia as Modern Condition

To read T. S. Eliot is to enter a landscape of broken continuity. His poetry captures the unsettling reality of a world moving too quickly for its inhabitants to absorb, a world where tradition loses its stability and individuals struggle to locate meaning in the fragments left behind. Melancholia, for Eliot, is less an emotional disturbance than a structural feature of modern consciousness. It emerges when continuity dissolves—cultural, spiritual, and temporal—and when individuals find themselves navigating ruins that were once foundations. This melancholia is deeply connected to the pressures of modernity. Industrialization, global conflict, and the erosion of shared myths reshape how subjects experience time and memory. Memory becomes suspect, not because the past is irrelevant, but because it becomes difficult to reconcile with the disjointed present. Eliot’s protagonists stand at a threshold between what was once believed possible and what modernity renders impossible. Their melancholy arises from this liminal position. Critics such as Lawrence Rainey observe that Eliot’s early poems enact an “economy of loss” (56), where every gesture signals an absence. Yet Eliot is not content merely to describe modernity’s wounds. His poetry becomes a testing ground for responses to them. Cleanth Brooks stated that Eliot’s work attempts to reconstruct meaning “from the ruins” (118), but the process is never linear. Eliot’s approach is recursive: he circles back through memory and myth, seeking coherence but rarely claiming to find it definitively, but the result is a poetics shaped by tension—between despair and hope, fragmentation and continuity, loss and the faint possibility of restoration. Eliot becomes a cartographer of spiritual crisis, mapping the emotional and intellectual terrain of a century confronted with its own spectral inheritance. This paper examines this terrain by tracing melancholia and memory across three major works, illuminating how Eliot transforms crisis into a mode of perception.

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Saleheen Ahmad
Assistant Professor, B.R.B.
College, Samastipur, Bihar,
India

Melancholic Subjectivity in “Prufrock”

“*The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock*” remains one of the most intimate and unsettling portraits of the modern self. From the moment Prufrock utters the blunt admission “I am no prophet,” we sense a consciousness that is fundamentally uncertain of its place in the world. Eliot does not present Prufrock merely as a timid man; he presents him as a symbol of an age that has lost the confidence to imagine a coherent future. The quiet resignation embedded in that line sets the emotional pitch of the entire poem. Prufrock feels out of step with the universe around him, as though the rhythms of modern life move too insistently for him to keep pace. His melancholia is the melancholia of misalignment—of living in a world whose language he no longer speaks fluently. This sense of misalignment deepens as the poem guides us through “half-deserted streets,” streets that seem suspended in a strange twilight. They are neither fully alive nor fully abandoned, and it is in this in-between quality that Prufrock’s internal landscape comes into focus. Eliot’s city is not the triumphant metropolis celebrated by nineteenth-century writers; it is a place where anonymity gives no freedom and visibility brings no comfort. The streets echo with a kind of emotional hollowness, heightening Prufrock’s awareness of his own inadequacy. He walks through the city not as a participant in its life but as a spectator of his own estrangement.

Prufrock is a poem about time—time as a burden, time as an adversary, and time as a constant reminder of what has not been accomplished. Prufrock repeats the assurance “there will be time,” but each repetition weakens rather than strengthens his resolve. The phrase becomes a refuge for hesitation, a lyrical postponement of life itself. In this sense, his temporality is elastic yet suffocating: the future stretches out endlessly before him, but the present remains immovable. Here Freud’s insight that the melancholic ego “degrades itself” (246) resonates sharply; Prufrock internalizes disappointment so thoroughly that he cannot disentangle his identity from his sense of failure. Yet Prufrock’s melancholia is more than psychological paralysis—it is also a profoundly modern mode of self-awareness. Eliot allows us to overhear a mind that examines itself with surgical precision. Prufrock rehearses conversations, criticizes his own gestures, and anticipates judgments before they are spoken. This heightened self-consciousness is both his torment and his gift that roots him firmly in the modern world, where individuals are increasingly aware of themselves as fractured subjects, caught between their desires and the social scripts that constrain them.

Another important dimension of Prufrock’s melancholia lies in his relationship to memory. Unlike the richer, layered memory that will later appear in *Four Quartets*, Prufrock’s recollections are thin and brittle. They do not guide him; they echo vaguely, like distant conversations overheard through walls. The past only confirms what he already fears: that he has lived timidly, that meaningful moments have slipped through his fingers, and that time has silently gathered the weight of regret. His memories do not anchor him; they unmoor him. Still, there is something deeply human about Prufrock’s hesitations. Even in his paralysis, a sense of desire for authenticity, for connection, for a life that could be lived more directly is seen. His melancholy is not the theatrical despair of the romantic hero but the quiet ache of someone who feels he has not yet become himself. Eliot

captures this ache with extraordinary tenderness. It is not only Prufrock’s weakness that moves us—it is his yearning. He wants to speak, to ask, to step forward, but something in the structure of modern experience pulls him back, again and again. In this way, Prufrock becomes the doorway into Eliot’s larger exploration of modern melancholia that foreshadows his anxieties of the cultural desolation of *The Waste Land*, but also reminds that the collapse of meaning begins inside individual hearts. Before Eliot maps the ruins of civilization, he maps the ruins of a single consciousness, and by doing so he makes Prufrock not simply a character in a poem, but a mirror for the modern reader who has, at one time or another, felt the weight of unrealized life press silently upon the soul.

Cultural Memory and Fragmentation in *The Waste Land*

The Waste Land is perhaps the twentieth century’s most haunting attempt to confront the emotional aftermath of collective crisis. Published in 1922, in the wake of the First World War, it sometimes reads like a psychic map of a civilization that has lost its contours. The line “a heap of broken images” has become emblematic not only of the poem’s fragmented structure but of the cultural consciousness it portrays. Eliot is not merely describing ruins; he is recording a world in which the old meanings have collapsed and no new ones have risen to take their place. Melancholia, in this context, becomes a cultural condition—an unavoidable inheritance for those living in the turbulent rhythms of modernity. The poem’s opening sections guide us through landscapes of emotional and spiritual desiccation when the speaker moves among “stony rubbish,” the phrase that reverberates with more than physical barrenness. It gestures toward the inner sterility of a society that can no longer sustain the rituals and narratives that once made human life intelligible. Spring, traditionally a symbol of renewal, is reimagined here as the “cruellest month,” forcing memory into consciousness and stirring emotions that have no adequate home in the present. Every effort to move forward is interrupted by the weight of what has been lost.

One of the most striking aspects of *The Waste Land* is Eliot’s use of inter-textuality—his weaving together of voices from Dante, Ovid, the Upanishads, Baudelaire, the Bible, Wagner, and countless other sources. Critics such as Grover Smith describe the poem as “a palimpsest of civilizations” (89), where each textual layer pushes against and bleeds into the others, yet this layering is not harmonious or nostalgic. Instead, it dramatizes the unsettling persistence of cultural memory in an era that no longer knows how to interpret it. The past is still present, but only as fragments—uncoordinated, often contradictory, occasionally luminous but largely obscure. The poem asks an uncomfortable question: what happens when a society inherits a cultural memory it cannot fully inhabit?

At the same time, these fragments are not inert. They exert pressure on the modern consciousness. Eliot’s speaker is continually pulled backward—to myths of fertility, to fragments of ancient liturgy, to the echoes of prophetic voices. This backward pull is not restorative; it is melancholic. It reveals that the past continues to haunt the present without offering stability. Walter Benjamin famously wrote that modern history must be read through its “ruins” (166), and Eliot’s poem enacts precisely this reading. The ruins of language, ritual, and myth become

mirrors in which modernity sees itself reflected—broken, disoriented, yet compelled to interpret.

The poem's structure itself performs fragmentation, where voices appear and vanish without transition; perspectives shift abruptly; narrative coherence dissolves. This disjointed form reflects not only the chaos of postwar Europe but the psychological fragmentation of individuals attempting to make sense of their experience. The poem offers no center from which meaning radiates. Instead, meaning emerges—if at all—through the act of piecing together the textual debris. Readers must navigate the poem as they navigate modernity: without a map, guided only by instinct, memory, and the faint hope of coherence. Yet amid the desolation, Eliot embeds moments of strange beauty and fragile continuity. The section "What the Thunder Said" introduces a flicker of ethical and spiritual possibility. The Sanskrit injunction "Datta, Dayadhvam, Damyata" interrupts the poem's bleakness with a call to generosity, compassion, and self-control. The concluding "Shantih shantih shantih" offers what Eliot called "the peace which passeth understanding"—a peace that is felt rather than possessed. But crucially, this peace is not triumphal because it does not resolve the poem's conflicts. Instead, it suggests that even in a fractured world, fragments of wisdom and meaning may still resound.

What makes *The Waste Land* so compelling is that it refuses easy consolation and delves deep into the cultural memory formation that reminiscences the trauma during the war period. The poem recognizes that cultural memory cannot be erased, but also that it cannot simply be revived. The traditions embedded in its lines no longer hold the authority they once did; modernity has destabilized them too thoroughly and yet Eliot does not reject the past, rather he allows its fragments to speak—not with the confidence of an intact heritage, but with the tremor of something remembered through the filter of loss.

In this sense, *The Waste Land* becomes a study in how memory behaves under conditions of historical rupture. Memory does not vanish; it fractures. It does not illuminate; it flickers. It does not guide; it unsettles. And yet, this unsettling becomes a form of revelation. Eliot teaches us that to inhabit modernity is to listen to echoes—some faint, some sharp, some discordant—and to recognize that these echoes, even in their brokenness, continue to shape the present. Melancholia thus becomes an act of witness, a way of acknowledging the distance between what once made sense and what now merely survives. Hence, *The Waste Land* is not a poem of despair but a poem of profound attentiveness. It does not promise restoration, but it insists on remembrance. Through its fragments, it gestures toward the possibility that meaning may still emerge—not as a grand revelation, but as a quiet, human effort to hold together the pieces of a world that has forgotten how to cohere. This fragile human effort, Eliot suggests, is itself a form of modern courage.

Time, Memory, and Spiritual Longing in *Four Quartets*

If *The Waste Land* mourns the collapse of cultural coherence, *Four Quartets* meditates on how one might live after such a collapse. Written two decades later, the *Quartets* represent Eliot's most mature attempt to reconcile time, memory, and spiritual yearning. The poem recognizes the brokenness of the modern world but refuses to remain within despair. One of the defining insights of *Four*

Quartets comes through the line "the end is where we start from." Here Eliot articulates a cyclical conception of time, suggesting that human understanding emerges not from novelty but from repeated encounters with origins. Modernity tends to privilege forward motion, but Eliot reminds readers that depth comes from return—from revisiting places, memories, and silences that shape identity. In "Burnt Norton," Eliot writes of the "still point," captured in the line: "at the still point, there the dance is." This paradox suggests a moment where time and eternity intersect, a place where consciousness momentarily transcends fragmentation. Such moments are rare, but they illuminate Eliot's spiritual project: to recognize meaning not in constant movement, but in stillness, reflection, and memory. Memory in the *Quartets* becomes a tool for spiritual alignment. Unlike Prufrock's anxious recollections or *The Waste Land's* cultural ruins, the *Quartets* use memory to reorient the self toward humility and acceptance. As Helen Gardner notes, Eliot seeks to "redeem time through consciousness" (134). Redemption does not erase pain or fragmentation; it contextualizes them within a larger order.

Yet Eliot acknowledges the difficulty of such redemption. His reflections on war, mortality, and human limitation reveal an acute awareness of suffering. In this sense, melancholia persists, but it shifts from despair into contemplative longing. Agamben's idea of melancholia as "awareness of the unattainable" (20) resonates strongly here. The *Quartets* mourn the lost unity of tradition, yet they treat that loss as an invitation to spiritual seriousness. In this sense, *Four Quartets* argues that modernity's wounds can be approached through attention and surrender. Memory becomes a spiritual practice—an act of listening, a means of honoring what came before while accepting the fragility of the present. Eliot's melancholia thus becomes a doorway to insight rather than a barrier to hope.

Melancholia, Modernity, and the Ethics of Remembering

Across Eliot's major works, melancholia gradually emerges not just as an emotional condition but as an ethical stance—a way of perceiving the world with sharpened sensitivity to its fractures, its inheritances, and its unspoken wounds. While modernity tends to valorize speed, novelty, and forgetting, melancholia pulls the individual in the opposite direction. It insists on lingering, on listening, on acknowledging the debris that modern life would rather sweep away. In this sense, Eliot's poetic imagination becomes a countercurrent to the culture of acceleration. He forces the reader to slow down, to dwell in what feels uncomfortable, to recognize that loss, when attended to with honesty, becomes a source of insight rather than mere despair. Eliot's famous assertion in *Four Quartets* that humanity cannot "bear very much reality" captures this tension beautifully. The modern subject, overwhelmed by the pressures of an ever-changing world, often turns toward distraction or detachment as a means of survival. But Eliot repeatedly urges us to confront reality precisely because it is difficult. In doing so, he suggests that the ability to look directly at suffering, uncertainty, and historical rupture is itself a moral achievement. His poetry does not offer escape; it offers discipline—a training of attention that allows the individual to face what is broken without collapsing into hopelessness. This approach resonates strongly with Walter Benjamin's idea that modernity must interpret history through its "ruins" (166). For Benjamin, ruins are not

merely remnants of destruction; they are sites of compressed memory, containing within them the unfulfilled hopes and invisible wounds of the past. Eliot's work embodies this ethos. Whether he is invoking fragments of ancient rituals in *The Waste Land* or circling back to childhood memories in *Four Quartets*, he treats memory as both fragile and indispensable. If modernity has indeed fractured human experience, then the act of remembering becomes a way of resisting that fracture—a refusal to allow history to dissolve into abstraction. Freud's concept of melancholia also deepens our understanding of Eliot's ethical project. Freud notes that in melancholia, the ego internalizes the lost object and turns the critique inward. Eliot adapts this psychological insight and reframes it in cultural terms. His poetry shows how societies internalize their own failures—moral, political, spiritual—and struggle to reconcile these failures with their longing for coherence. The grieving of the modern world becomes a collective endeavor, manifesting in both personal disillusionment and cultural exhaustion. But Eliot refuses to let this grief harden into cynicism. Instead, he turns it into a form of ethical clarity, a recognition that the integrity of a culture depends on its willingness to face its own history without denial. Another essential dimension of Eliot's ethics lies in his treatment of time. Modernity often presents time as a linear progression toward improvement, a narrative of forward momentum. Eliot dismantles this assumption. He argues that ethical life depends on understanding time as layered, recursive, and intimately tied to memory. "What might have been," he writes elsewhere in *Four Quartets*, exerts as much influence as what has been. This awareness creates a humbling sense of responsibility: the present is never separate from the past, and our ethical choices are shaped by what we choose to remember or forget. At its heart, the ethics of remembering in Eliot's poetry arises from an acknowledgement of interdependence. Individuals are bound to their cultural inheritances, their communal memories, and the sorrows of earlier generations. To remember, then, is not merely to engage with the past but to honor the humanity of those who came before. It is a form of solidarity across time. Eliot suggests that meaning survives only when individuals refuse to sever themselves from these deeper currents of experience. Melancholia, in this context, becomes a gesture of care—a refusal to abandon what has shaped us, even when it is painful. Thus, Eliot's melancholic vision is hopeful precisely because it is honest. He does not promise redemption through grand narratives or restored traditions. Instead, he proposes something quieter and more human: that renewal begins with attention—with the willingness to face the world as it is, to remember what has been lost, and to act with humility within the fragments that remain. In a world constantly tempted by forgetfulness, Eliot's poetry becomes a reminder that remembering is not simply an act of looking back but an ethical orientation toward the future. Through melancholia, he cultivates an ethic of tenderness and responsibility, offering a deeply human answer to the dissonances of modernity.

Conclusion: Eliot's Modernism and the Human Condition

Eliot's poetry endures because it captures the inner tumult of modernity—the longing for meaning, the fear of insignificance, the haunting persistence of memory. His melancholia reflects both personal vulnerability and cultural

crisis, revealing how individuals confront a world in which inherited structures no longer offer stability. Across "*Prufrock*," *The Waste Land*, and *Four Quartets*, Eliot traces an arc from paralysis to fragmentation to contemplation. Melancholia evolves from psychological despair to cultural diagnosis and finally to spiritual awareness. Memory, once a burden, becomes a resource. Fragmentation, once overwhelming, becomes intelligible within a broader temporal and ethical framework. Eliot does not resolve the crises he describes, but he illuminates them with rare clarity. His poetry remains relevant precisely because modern life continues to oscillate between acceleration and exhaustion, between remembering and forgetting, between despair and the faint hope of renewal. Eliot teaches us to read our moment as he read his own: attentively, humbly, and with a willingness to find meaning in the fragments that remain.

References

1. Agamben G. *Stanzas: Word and phantasm in Western culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press; 1993.
2. Benjamin W. *The origin of German tragic drama*. London: Verso; 1998.
3. Brooks C. *Modern poetry and the tradition*. Chapel Hill (NC): University of North Carolina Press; 1939.
4. Bush R. T. S. *Eliot: A study in character and style*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1984.
5. Eliot TS. *The waste land*. London: Faber and Faber; 1922.
6. Eliot TS. *Four quartets*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & Company; 1943.
7. Eliot TS. Notes on *The waste land*. London: Faber and Faber; 1922.
8. Freud S. Mourning and melancholia. In: Strachey J, editor. *The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud*. Vol. 14. London: Hogarth Press; 1957. p. 237–258.
9. Gardner H. *The art of T. S. Eliot*. London: Faber and Faber; 1959.
10. Gordon L. *T. S. Eliot: An imperfect life*. New York: W. W. Norton; 2000.
11. Kenner H. *The invisible poet: T. S. Eliot*. London: Methuen; 1960.
12. Rainey L. *Reinventing T. S. Eliot*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1998.
13. Ricks C. *T. S. Eliot and prejudice*. London: Faber and Faber; 1988.
14. Smith G. *T. S. Eliot's poetry and plays: A study in sources and meaning*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1974.